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Neospora caninum is an obligate intracellular parasite that can infect domestic and wild canids, as well as ruminants and equines. It was described in 1988 and has been known as a major cause of abortion in bovines and neuromuscular alterations and death in dogs. To estimate the prevalence of bovine neosporosis in the 22 municipalities of the so-called Estrato 1 subregion of the Brazilian state of Mato Grosso do Sul, blood samples were collected from cows aged 24 months and older, from December 2003 to March 2004. During sample collection, a questionnaire was used to gather data of epidemiological interest. The samples were subjected to serological diagnosis (indirect fluorescence antibody test – IFAT). Prevalences of 14.9% (449/2488) and 69.8% (143/205) were found for the animals and herds sampled, respectively. The variable found to be associated with seropositivity to N. caninum was abortion (OR 2.52; CI 1.25-5.06). The results revealed the presence of infection by N. caninum in the herds investigated, drawing attention to its role as a potential cause of abortion in cattle in Mato Grosso do Sul.
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INTRODUCTION

Neospora caninum, a parasitic protozoan that forms cysts in animal tissues, was, as late as 1988, confused with Toxoplasma gondii because of their biological and structural similarities, although they are antigenically distinct (DUBEY et al., 1988).

Since its discovery, N. caninum has gained attention, as it is responsible for abortions and premature births in animals.
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Study area

The stretch investigated includes municipalities belonging to three of the four geographical mesoregions of Mato Grosso do Sul (Center-North, East and Southwest) and its 70 214.1 km² account for 19.7% of the state’s area (INSTITUTO BRA-SILEIRO DE GEOGRAFIA E ESTATÍSTICA, 1989, 2002). Beef and/or dairy cattle-raising, and in some cases crop cultures, are the activities developed in properties of varying sizes located in the subregion, harboring as many as 5.7 million head of cattle, of a total of 24.9 million in the state (AGÊN-CIA ESTADUAL DE DEFESA SANITÁRIA ANIMAL E VEGETAL DE MATO GROSSO DO SUL, 2003). The stretch, furthermore, plays an important role as a corridor for cattle across the state, given its central geographical location.

Sampling methods

Herd were defined as the primary sampling units. Sample size was calculated as \( N = \frac{Z^2 \times P(1-P)}{E^2} \), as proposed by Noordhuizen et al. (1997), with a 95% confidence interval and a maximum error of 5%. For the expected prevalence, a 15% level was adopted, which corresponds to the mean value found in epidemiological studies conducted in other Brazilian localities (GONDIM et al. 1999a; MELO et al. 2004; GUIL-MARÃES et al., 2004; CORBELLINI et al., 2005). This resulted in a minimum total number of 196 properties, but 205 were included in the investigation instead.

The properties were randomly chosen from the list used by the Agency for Animal and Crop Health Protection of Mato Grosso do Sul (IAGRO-MS). The sampling interval was calculated by dividing the total number of properties by the number of properties to be sampled. Next, animals in each property were randomly selected. Inclusion criteria were: female animals at least 24 months old living under similar management conditions within any given property. From each property having up to 99 female animals that met the criteria for inclusion, 10 females were randomly selected, or all females aged 24 months and older if they were less than 10. When the number was greater than 99, 15 were randomly selected.

Selection was carried out by draw, using either simple or systematic random sampling, depending on the following calculation: In each property, the number of female animals aged 24 months and older was divided by the number of animals to be sampled; when the result of the division was less than two, simple random sampling was adopted; otherwise, systematic random sampling was used.

Collection of samples

Sera were collected as described by Monteiro et al. (2006), from December 2003 to March 2004. At collection time, a questionnaire was used to gather epidemiological information such as history of abortion, presence of dogs, origin of cattle, presence of marshy terrain as source of contamination, and type of exploitation.

Indirect fluorescence antibody test (IFAT)

Samples were subjected to IFAT to detect the presence of...
antibodies. The antigen was produced by cultivating tachyzoites of*Neospora caninum*, strain NC-1 (DUBEY et al., 1988) in Vero cells (LOCATELLI-DITTRICH, 2002; OLIVEIRA et al., 2004). Bovine anti-IgG commercial conjugate (Sigma) was used and the samples were tested at a dilution of 1:50 (PARÉ et al., 1998). Each slide included negative and positive control sera.

Databank

The results of serological tests and the information gathered from the questionnaires were stored in a databank developed for PNCEBT using the program Win Episcope 2.0 (THRUSFIELD et al., 2001).

Statistical analysis

Calculations of the actual prevalence of infected animals and apparent prevalence of herds were conducted according to Martin et al. (1987, 1992).

To assess the risk factors associated with seropositivity to*Neospora caninum*in the herds sampled, univariate analysis was performed using interval estimates of odds ratio (OR). Data were processed with the program Win Episcope 2.0 (THRUSFIELD et al., 2001).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This investigation of a representative sample from an area that accounts for 23% of the entire cattle herd of Mato Grosso do Sul reveals an actual prevalence of 14.9% (449/2488) for animals and an apparent prevalence of 69.8% (143/205) for the herds sampled. The parasite appears to have wide geographical distribution in all the 22 municipalities where cattle was sampled, with herd prevalences ranging from 28.6% to 100% and animal-level prevalences ranging from 4.8% to 37.5% (Table 1).

Studies conducted in the Brazilian states of Paraná (GUIL-MARÃES et al., 2004), Bahia (GONDIM et al., 1999a) and Rondônia (AGUIAR et al., 2006) showed prevalences of 14.04%, 14.09%, and 8.8%, respectively. Similar results were found for other parts of South America, including Argentina (MOORE et al., 2002) and Uruguay (BAÑALES et al., 2006).

Ragozo et al. (2003), in a study with bovine samples from different Brazilian states, found a prevalence of 28% (31/110) for Mato Grosso do Sul. The difference between their results and those of the present study is probably due to differences in the cut off points adopted (1:25 in their study) and to their use of sera from a bank instead of sera collected from cattle ranches. The earliest studies that used IFAT for diagnosis of*Neospora caninum* (DUBEY; LINDSAY, 1996) adopted a cut off value of 1:640 or higher. Venturini et al. (1999) later concluded that this value should be lowered. Currently, several laboratories adopt cut off values of 1:200 or lower for IFAT, though no consensus has yet been reached over the issue.

The apparent prevalence of 69.8% in the present study indicates that most herds examined had at least one positive animal, showing that the disease can be maintained at endemic equilibrium in the region. Although herd exposure to the agent was relatively high, these figures might underestimate true prevalence, since only up to 15 animals were sampled on each farm. Similar results were found by Aguiar et al. (2006) in the state of Rondônia.

Table 2 summarizes the results of the univariate analysis of risk factors associated with herd-level seroprevalence.

An association was found between seropositivity to*Neospora caninum*and abortion, with seropositive herds being 2.52 times as likely to have abortions as seronegative ones (OR 2.52; CI 1.25-5.06). Positive cows with a higher risk of abortion also have a higher probability of transmitting congenital infection to their offspring (PARE et al., 1997), thus maintaining the parasite in the herd by vertical transmission.

In addition, the data reveal that abortion plays an important role in infection in the region, as dogs or other major hosts in the biological cycle can be infected by ingesting tissues from contaminated cattle, such as aborted fetuses or placentas, later shedding oocysts in the environment (DIJKSTRA et al., 2002; WOUDA, 2005). Even with a very high probability of vertical transmission, some type of horizontal transmission is required for the disease to be endemic in a herd (FRENCH et al., 1999). In herds with endemic infection, there is serological evidence that a low level of post-birth infection driven by unknown factors can still occur (ANDERSON et al., 2000), but cattle that seroconverts have an increased risk of abortion and will vertically infect their offspring (FRENCH et al., 1999).

No association between*Neospora caninum*-positive herd

Table 1. Results of the indirect fluorescence antibody test (IFAT) for detection of anti-Neospora caninum antibodies in cattle in Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil, by county. December 2003 to March 2004.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Municipalities</th>
<th>Herds</th>
<th>Animals</th>
<th>Sampled</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Sampled</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angélica</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandeirantes</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caarapó</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campo Grande</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deodápolis</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douradina</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dourados</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fátima do Sul</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glória de Dourados</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itaporã</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivindema</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaraguari</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jateí</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maracaju</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nova Alvorada do Sul</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novo Horizonte do Sul</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribeirão do Sul</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Brilhante</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochedo</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidrolândia</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terenos</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicentina</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>2448</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>14.9**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Seroprevalence: *apparent; **actual.
serostatus and presence of dogs was found (OR 0.96; CI 0.35-2.63). This finding is similar to that obtained by Guimarães et al. (2004) and Aguiar et al. (2006) with the use of IFAT and by Bañales et al. (2006) using ELISA. Bartels et al. (1999), also using ELISA for serological diagnosis in a case-control study, demonstrated that the presence of dogs constitutes a risk factor. Otranto et al. (2003), showed that farms with two or more dogs had higher seropositivity for *N. caninum* than those with one dog or none.

It was not possible to draw any definitive conclusion on the involvement of dogs in the transmission of bovine infection in Mato Grosso do Sul, since no samples from dogs living on the farms investigated were collected for anti-*Neospora* antibody testing. In Campo Grande municipality, however, a prevalence of 26.53% for dogs was found by Oliveira et al. (2004) and a herd prevalence of 75% was found in the present study. Thus, the possibility of horizontal transmission occurring in beef cattle in this area cannot be ruled out.

As shown in Table 2, no association was found between type of exploitation and *N. caninum* serologic status (OR 1.25; CI 0.65-2.38), and when the apparent prevalence was determined for beef, dairy, and mixed herds, the values were 70.4% (57/81), 65.6% (59/90), and 76.5% (26/34), respectively. Studies conducted in the same region of the country (Brazil’s Center-West region) also failed to show any differences between beef and dairy herds (MELO et al., 2006), whereas Moore et al. (2002) found in Argentina a higher prevalence for dairy herds. It is worth pointing out, however, that the average lifespan of dairy cattle is usually longer than that of beef cattle, increasing the chances of exposure to sources of infection, however in Western Amazon (Rondônia), Aguair et al. (2006), found herd prevalence in beef herds significantly (p < 0.05) higher (100%) than in dairy (70%) and mixed (64%) herds.

In Mato Grosso do Sul, extensive production predominates and both beef and dairy herds tend to be raised under similar management conditions, a feature that may contribute to the comparable transmission rates found for both types of herd.

No association was found between cattle origin (purchased or locally bred) and *N. caninum* serologic status (OR 1; CI 0.55-1.83), possibly because both sources of replacement pose risks of infection: locally bred animals spend a longer time in the herd, with a consequently longer time of potential exposure to infection sources; in the case of purchased animals, additional infected ones may be added to the herd.

Although the complexity of this variable warrants more detailed studies, the practice of breeding calves instead of purchasing them obviously increases the proportion of vertical transmission. Despite the efficiency of vertical transmission, theoretical modeling has shown that infection with *N. caninum* cannot be sustained in cattle herds without horizontal transmission (FRENCH et al., 1999).

Even though a previous study conducted in the same region had revealed a higher positivity to *N. caninum* in cattle having access to marshy terrain (ANDREOTTI et al., 2004), in the present study no association was found with the presence of this type of terrain as a source of contamination (OR; 0.93 CI 0.47-1.84). Water contaminated with oocysts is reported as a potential risk factor (MCALLISTER et al., 1998b) and might contribute to the occurrence of horizontal transmission, though more thorough investigations are need to clarify this aspect.

The presence of anti-*N. caninum* antibodies in cattle from Mato Grosso do Sul has been demonstrated and the prevalence levels have been shown to be similar to those in other Brazilian states. *Neospora caninum* was present in all the 22 municipalities sampled, irrespective of type of exploitation.

Although the risk of *N. caninum* infection was not associated with cattle origin (purchase or local breeding) or presence of dogs on the farm, a balance apparently exists between horizontal and vertical transmission, with the difference that the latter route keeps transmission endemic, contributing significantly to the persistence of *N. caninum* in a herd by propagating infection to successive generations (ANDERSON et al., 2000).
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